Law (1924) Department
(Education)

melanny SERIES.

G.O. No. 36

DATED 4 — 1 — 1924

[Despatch Abstract.]

[illegible] Recruitment.

[illegible] Regular training period [illegible] loss—pe[illegible] [illegible] recommendations given.

Edl. A-1—2,500—1-5-23.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

In future letters, please quote No. R.C.No.2379 C/23 dated 10-11-23 and short title *	*Rec'd* _____ *Enclosures* 1 memorial *Reg'd* _____ *Spare copies* _____	Telephone No. 5238 Telegraphic Address: "Instruction" Madras.



The Old College,

Dated 10-11-23 192

R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,
Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law(Education)Department

Sir,

I have the honour to submit with the following remarks the enclosed memorial from B.Kogga permanent III Assistant, Model School and Acting IV Assistant, Training Section, Higher Elementary Training School, Mangalore.

2. As the teacher's pay on 28-2-21 in his permanent appointment was Rs.25 plus 10 his initial pay on 1-3-21 was in anticipation of Government's sanction to the proposition statement for the revision of pay of the staff of Government Training Schools for masters fixed at Rs.36 in the scale of Rs.30-2-50-1-60 which was then proposed to be sanctioned for Secondary grade teachers. In G.O.No.787 Law(Education) dated 28-6-22 Government sanctioned the scale of Rs.30-2-50-1-60 to Secondary grade teachers employed in Model schools attached to Government Training Schools but ordered that the revision should take effect from 28-6-22 only and that the initial pay should be fixed at the stage next above the lowest rate of salary drawn during the three months prior to 28-6-22 or the temporary pay on that date if that was greater. The teachers initial pay in the time scale was accordingly

accordingly fixed at Rs.36 in the scale of Rs.30-60 from 26-6-22. But as in G.O.No.955 Law(Education) dated 14-8-22 Government fixed the pay of Secondary Grade teachers at Rs.35-1½-50-1-60 instead of Rs.30-2-50 with effect from 1-7-22 and as the teachers pay in the scale of Rs.30-60 was Rs.36 on 30-6-22, his pay in the scale of Rs.35-60 was fixed at Rs.36½ with effect from 1-7-22. Subsequently Government held in G.O.No.389 Law(Education) dated 12-3-23 that the revision of pay should take effect from 1-3-22 and be based on the pay drawn during the three months prior to 1-3-21. In accordance with this order the teachers' initial pay was fixed afresh at Rs.35 in the scale of Rs.35-60 with effect from 1-3-22 in my Proceedings R.C.No. 316 C/23 dated 17-4-23.

3. As the teachers had drawn pay at Rs.36 per mensem from 1-3-22 to 30-6-22 and at Rs.36½ per mensem from 1-7-22 to 28-2-23 while the pay now admissible from 1-3-22 to 28-2-23 in accordance with G.O.No.389 Law (Education) dated 12-3-23 is only Rs.35 per mensem the Accountant General has asked the teachers to refund a sum of Rs.16 being the excess drawn by him.

4. If the scale of Rs.30-60 sanctioned in G.O. No.787 Law(Education) dated 28-6-22 had been given effect to from 1-3-22 and if the teacher had elected to come under the new scale of Rs.35-60 which was subsequently sanctioned in G.O.No.955 Law(Education) dated 14-8-22 with effect from 1-7-22 exercising the option admissible under Fundamental Rules 23 his pay would have been fixed at Rs.36 per mensem on 1-3-22 and at Rs.36½ per mensem on 1-7-22 and there would have been no excess payment. The Accountant General was therefore asked to state whether the teacher could not be allowed to exercise such option. He says that the scale of Rs.35-60 sanctioned in G.O.No. 955 Law(Education) dated 14-8-22 with effect from 1-7-22 has been given retrospective effect from 1-3-22 in G.O.No.389 Law(Education) dated 12-3-1923 and that

3/

that therefore there has been no change in the scale of pay within the meaning of rule 23 of the Fundamental Rules.

5. The teachers' request to fix his initial pay at Rs.38 is inadmissible. It is recommended that the excess pay of Rs.16 drawn by him from 1-3-22 to 28-2-23 may be waived by Government.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction

a.r. 12-11-23

13.11.

4



To,

His Excellency the Governor of Fort St George

Madras.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction

Madras,

and

The District Educational Officer,

South Kanara.

Humble memorial of B. Kogga, Fourth assistant, Government Higher Elementary Training School Mangalore.

Dated, 26-7-1923.

May it please your Excellency,

1. That the memorialist is aggrieved by the recent Revision of his Initial pay in Director's proceedings, R.O.C No. 316 c/23 dated 17-4-23.

2. That the memorialist was drawing Rs 35 per mensem before the introduction of the Time-scale and in accordance with the Director's Dis. No. 7367/21, dated 18-12-21, the memorialist's initial pay was fixed at Rs.36. and again under the Director's proceedings the said initial pay was raised to Rs 36-8-0 with retrospective effect from ~~1-5-1921.~~ 1-7-1922 Director's R.O. no. 316 C/23 dated 8.2.

3 That under the Revision in Director's R.C.No 316/C/23 (17.4.23) the memorialist's initial pay has been fixed at Rs 35 instead of at Rs 38 and accordingly he is ordered to refund Rs.16 the amount drawn in excess of Rs.35 in Accountant General's official memorandum No A.B.V. S.K. 76 d/9-7-23.

4. That the humble memorialist has put in nine years' service as a teacher in the Government Higher Elementary Training School, Mangalore and that he is the only secondary Grade Trained teacher from his poor community, viz

Jogi which is Gazetted backward.

5. That in these circumstances the memorialist most humbly and respectfully begs to approach your Excellency with a prayer that an a gracious and benign consideration of his long and most faithful services his initial pay under the Time scale may be kindly refixed at Rs.38 with effect from 1-3-1922 and that the amount of refund ordered by the Accountant General may be generously waived for which act of grace and sympathy the memorialist will ever be grateful.

B.K. Roy.

Memorialist.

---

4 - SEP. 1923
No 2379

Subject

Sanctioned pay. B. Kogga
Higher Elementary Training School
Mangalore.

C No. 4279/B

Encl. 4279/B-7 & 28.11.23.

Report
the A.G. for remarks

JD
20.11.23

CR
27.11.23

SD
22.11.23

The A.G. (with C.R.
to furnish with reply

28/11

Remind after a month.

O.F. 173—1,000—31-8-23.

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. Lor 45325

Received 8 DEC 1923 192 *Registered* 6.12 1923

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 3 sheets.
Spare copies.....

No.-S.K.1-1012

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Dated the 5th December 23192

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Law Education Department.,

SIR,

In returning herewith the enclosures received with your endt.4279-E-1 dated the 28-th November 1923, I have the honour to state that there is no audit objection to Government waiving the excess pay of Rs.16/-- drawn by B.Kogga.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Dy. Accountant-General.

Secty. 11-10 (a)—16,002—6-2-23. (6)

(Law Edn.) DEPARTMENT

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Establishment

B. Ragga - Asst - Higher Elementary Training School - Mangalore - pay overdrawn - recovery waived

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ..... " 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 4279 B. 1922; From the ....., No. ....., dated 192

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 192

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 192

ORDER.

Misc

No. 36, dated 9. 1. 192 4

~~[illegible]~~, The Government see no reason to sanction the grant of a higher initial pay than what is admissible under the rules to B. Ragga, Asst, ~~[illegible]~~ Higher Elementary Training School, Mangalore.

They are, however, pleased to waive the recovery of the excess pay of Rs 16/- drawn by him during the period commencing from 1.3.22 to 28.2.23.

The D.P.I (with reference to his letter No. Rc No. 2378/C/23 dated 10.11.23)
The A.G. through Finance

Secy
13/1/24

SEC
10.2.24.

20.12.23

P.T.O.